

INVOLUTIONS ON SAPPHIRE SOL 3-MANIFOLDS AND THE BORSUK-ULAM THEOREM FOR MAPS INTO $\mathbb{R}^n$

ALEXANDRE PAIVA BARRETO, DACIBERG LIMA GONÇALVES, AND DANIEL VENDRÚSCOLO

ABSTRACT. For each sapphire Sol 3-manifold, which is not a torus bundle over the circle, we classify the free involutions. Then we classify the triple $(M, \tau; \mathbb{R}^n)$ where M is a sapphire Sol 3-manifold as above, τ is a free involution and n a positive integer, for which the Borsuk-Ulam property holds. It is known that for $n > 3$, the validity of the Borsuk-Ulam property is of the involution, so the main classification is for $n = 2$ and 3.

1. INTRODUCTION

The classical Borsuk-Ulam Theorem states that, for any continuous map $f : S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists a point $x \in S^n$ such that $f(x) = f(-x)$. This theorem has motivated the following quite natural and general question. Given a topological space M , a free involution τ on M , and a positive integer, we say that *the Borsuk-Ulam property holds for the triple $(M, \tau, \mathbb{R}^n)$ (or the triple $(M, \tau, \mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies the Borsuk-Ulam property)*, if for any continuous map $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists a point $x \in X$ such that $f(x) = f(\tau(x))$. The question consists in classifying the triples $(M, \tau, \mathbb{R}^n)$ for which the Borsuk-Ulam property holds.

The above question has been studied by several people, see for example [3, 4, 5] among others. The classification of the free involutions of a space is closely related to the above question above and is a problem in its own right. For many Seifert 3-manifolds, the classification of involutions, together with the study of the Borsuk-Ulam property can be found in [7], [1] and [2].

The purpose of this work is to classify all free involutions on a closed sapphire Sol manifold M , up to an equivalence relation (see section 3), as well the triples $(M, \tau, \mathbb{R}^n)$ which satisfy the Borsuk-Ulam property.

The main results are:

Proposition 4.1. Any triple $(M, \tau; \mathbb{R}^2)$ has the Borsuk-Ulam property.

Theorem 4.4. Given a sapphire 3-manifold determined by the matrix

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55M20; Secondary 57N10, 55M35, 57S25.

Key words and phrases. Sol 3-manifolds, involutions, covering space, torus bundles over S^1 , Borsuk-Ulam theorem, Reidemeister Schreier method.

This work was initiated during the fixed point conference in China June 2011. This project was supported in part by Projeto Temático Topologia Algébrica Geométrica e Diferencial 2012/24454-8.

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

we have:

I) If c is odd the manifold does not admit involutions.

II) If c, b are even we have two cases:

II-a) $|a| \neq |d|$, then the manifold admits one class of free involutions and the quotient is homeomorphic to the sapphire determined by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 2b \\ c/2 & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

II-b) $|a| = |d|$, then the manifold admits 3 distinct classes of involutions and the quotients are the sapphires manifolds given by the matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 2b \\ c/2 & d \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} r & s \\ t & u \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} s & r \\ u & t \end{pmatrix},$$

where (r, s, t, u) is one of the solutions given by Proposition 4.2, if $r \neq u$ and $s \neq t$. Otherwise the manifold admits at least three distinct classes of involutions and at most five.

III) If c is even and b odd, then the manifold admits one class of free involutions and the quotient is homeomorphic to the sapphire determined by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 2b \\ c/2 & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Corollary 4.5. Given a sapphire 3-manifold S_A determined by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

the Borsuk-Ulam property holds for the triple $(S_A, \tau, \mathbb{R}^3)$ if and only if c is even and b is odd. Furthermore, in this case the manifold admits only one class of free involutions.

This paper contains 3 sections besides this introduction.

In section 2 we recall the definition and a classification for the closed sapphire Sol 3-manifolds, as well those which are not torus bundles. They are classified in terms of certain integral matrices in $GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ by a result of K. Morimoto in [9].

In section 3, we determine all the double coverings of a given sapphire Sol manifold in terms of the classification given by Morimoto. Then we obtain the equivalence classes of the double coverings.

In section 4 we provide the classification of the involutions. Then we give the classification of all triples $(M, \tau, \mathbb{R}^2)$ and $(M, \tau, \mathbb{R}^3)$ for which the Borsuk-Ulam property holds. The latter case uses a recent result by J. Hillman, [8].

A great amount of information about involutions (not necessarily free) on torus bundles is given in the work of Sakuma [10]. That work might be useful to study similar questions, such as that studied here, for torus bundles. This is work in progress and it is not clear what type of results will appear, especially if we wish to obtain answers in the same spirit as those given in this paper. It is likely that they will not be so explicit as those for the sapphire.

To conclude we would like to comment that for some special Sol manifolds, the manifold admits two involutions, and we do not know if they are equivalent. See more about this at the end of section 3.

2. SOL 3-MANIFOLDS

The Sol 3-manifolds constitutes one of the eight geometries mentioned in the geometrization conjecture of Thurston. Using either [11] or [9] we have that these manifolds can be divided into two disjoint subfamilies:

- a) Torus bundles where the gluing map is an Anosov map;
- b) A subset of the sapphire manifolds (called torus semi-bundles in [11]) which are those where the gluing automorphisms are the automorphism of $\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}$ where $rstu \neq 0$ (see the definition below).

In the case of torus bundles, two of them are homeomorphic if and only if the gluing matrices are conjugated or one is conjugated to the inverse of the other, see [10]. Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} m & n \\ p & q \end{pmatrix}$ be the matrix of the Anosov map used to construct the torus bundles T_A , so the fundamental group of such Sol 3-manifold admits the following presentation:

$$(2.1) \quad \pi_1(T_A) \cong \langle a, b, c \mid aba^{-1}b^{-1}, cac^{-1}b^{-p}a^{-m}, cbc^{-1}b^{-q}a^{-n} \rangle.$$

For the above facts and more details about torus bundles, see [10].

In the case of Sol 3-manifolds which are not torus bundles, recall from K. Morimoto [9] that a *sapphire* manifold is obtained by gluing two orientable twisted I -bundles over the Klein bottle as follows. For $i = 1, 2$, let $K_i I$ be two copies of the same orientable twisted I -bundles over the Klein bottle with $\pi_1(\partial K_i I) \cong \langle \alpha_i, \beta_i \mid \alpha_i \beta_i = \beta_i \alpha_i \rangle$. Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} r & s \\ t & u \end{pmatrix}$ be an element of $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\phi : \partial K_2 I \rightarrow \partial K_1 I$ be a homeomorphism that induces isomorphism $A = \phi_{\#} : \pi_1(\partial K_2 I) \rightarrow \pi_1(\partial K_1 I)$ so that $\phi_{\#}(\alpha_2) = r\alpha_1 + s\beta_1$ and $\phi_{\#}(\beta_2) = t\alpha_1 + u\beta_1$. By identifying $x \in \partial K_2 I$ with $\phi(x) \in \partial K_1 I$, we obtain a closed orientable 3-manifold $S_A = K_1 I \cup_{\phi} K_2 I$, which is the *sapphire*. The sapphire

manifolds which are Sol 3-manifolds are, by Proposition 1.5 [11] (or Remark 1.8 [9]) correspond to those for which the gluing matrix has all entries non zero, i.e. $rstu \neq 0$.

A presentation of the fundamental group is given by Morimoto:

$$(2.2) \quad \pi_1(S_A) \cong \langle a, b, c \mid aba^{-1}b = c^2a^{-2r}b^{-s} = ca^{2t}b^uc^{-1}a^{2t}b^u = 1 \rangle,$$

so we can also compute the first homology group.

In [9] Morimoto also describes when two gluing matrices produce the same manifold up to homeomorphisms. The topological types of sapphire spaces are given by Theorem 1 in [9], namely:

Theorem 2.1 (Morimoto). *Let A, A' be two elements of $GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Then N_A is homeomorphic to $N_{A'}$ if and only if A' is equal to one of the following matrices: $\pm A^\pm$, $\pm BA^\pm$, $\pm A^\pm B$, $\pm BA^\pm B$, where $B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$.*

By abuse of notation, from now on we say that a manifold is sapphire if it is a Sol-manifold.

Using the classification given by the above theorem, if S_A is a sapphire manifold we may suppose that $A = \begin{pmatrix} r & s \\ t & u \end{pmatrix}$ is such that all four numbers r, s, t and u are positive, and moreover $r \leq u$.

The first homology group with integral coefficients $\mathbb{Z}$ of a sapphire may be obtained from the above presentation, and it is explicitly given in [9, Proposition 1.6]. The family of the sapphires (which are not torus bundles and have geometry Sol), can be divided into two subfamilies. In the first subfamily we consider the sapphires having H_1 (first homology group with integral coefficients) isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{4t} + \mathbb{Z}_4$. This corresponds to s being odd in the gluing map. In the second subfamily, we consider the sapphire having H_1 isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{4t} + \mathbb{Z}_2 + \mathbb{Z}_2$. This corresponds to s being even.

3. THE CLASSIFICATION OF THE DOUBLE COVERING OF A SAPPHIRE SOL MANIFOLD M

In this section, we will study the double covering of a sapphire Sol manifold. We will describe the double coverings using the kernel of nontrivial epimorphisms from $\pi_1(M)$ to $\mathbb{Z}_2$. In terms of the presentation given in section 2.2, we have to describe the image of a , b and c , so we will have 7 cases:

Case I: $\varphi_1(a) = \overline{1}$, $\varphi_1(b) = \overline{0}$, $\varphi_1(c) = \overline{0}$. Making use of the Reidemeister-Schreier method, we take $\{1, a\}$ as a Schreier system for right cosets of the kernel (H) of φ_1 , we obtain $\{b, c, a^2, aba^{-1}, aca^{-1}\}$ as a system of generators and the following relations:

- $aba^{-1}b$;
- $c^2a^{-2r}b^{-s}$;
- $ca^{2t}b^u$;

- $ba^{-1}ba$;
- $a^{-1}c^2a^{-2r}b^{-s}a$;
- $a^{-1}ca^{2t}b^uc^{-1}a^{2t}b^ua$.

Now we make $\alpha = b, \beta = c, \gamma = a^2, \delta = aba^{-1}, \lambda = aca^{-1}$ and we obtain

$$H = \left\langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda \mid \begin{aligned} \delta\alpha &= \beta^2\gamma^{-r}\alpha^{-s} = \beta\gamma^t\alpha^u\beta^{-1}\gamma^t\alpha^u = \alpha\gamma^{-1}\delta\gamma = \\ &= \lambda^2\gamma^{-r}\delta^{-s} = \lambda\gamma^t\delta^u\lambda^{-1}\gamma^t\delta^u = 1 \end{aligned} \right\rangle.$$

Setting $a_1 = \beta, b_1 = \alpha^u\gamma^t$ and $c_1 = \lambda$ we will have:

$$H = \langle a_1, b_1, c_1 \mid a_1b_1a_1^{-1}b_1 = c_1^2a_1^{-2R}b_1^S = c_1a_1^{2T}b_1^Uc_1^{-1}a_1^{2T}b_1^U = 1 \rangle$$

where

- $R = ru + st, S = -2rs, T = 2tu, U = -(ru + st)$ if $ru - st = 1$;
- $R = -(ru + st), S = 2rs, T = -2tu, U = ru + st$ if $ru - st = -1$.

So the double covering defined by φ_1 is the sapphire determined by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} ru + st & -2rs \\ 2tu & -(ru + st) \end{pmatrix}$ if $ru - st = 1$, or the sapphire determined by $\begin{pmatrix} -(ru + st) & 2rs \\ -2tu & ru + st \end{pmatrix}$ if $ru - st = -1$. Moreover it is clear that both matrices describe the same 3-manifold.

Case II: $\varphi_2(a) = \bar{0}, \varphi_2(b) = \bar{1}, \varphi_2(c) = \bar{0}$. First of all we note that in this case (and in all other cases with nontrivial image of b), s must be even and u odd, we denote $s = 2k$ and $u = 2l - 1$. Taking $\{1, b\}$ as a Schreier system for right cosets of the kernel (H) of φ_2 , we obtain $\{a, c, bab^{-1}, b^2, bcb^{-1}\}$ as a system of generators and the following relations:

- $aba^{-1}b$;
- $c^2a^{-2r}b^{-s}$;
- $ca^{2t}b^uc^{-1}a^{2t}b^u$;
- $b^{-1}aba^{-1}b^2$;
- $b^{-1}c^2a^{-2r}b^{-s+1}$;
- $b^{-1}ca^{2t}b^uc^{-1}a^{2t}b^{u+1}$.

Taking $\alpha = a, \beta = c, \gamma = bab^{-1}, \delta = b^2, \lambda = bcb^{-1}$, we obtain

$$H = \left\langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda \mid \begin{aligned} \alpha\gamma^{-1}\delta &= \beta^2\alpha^{-2r}\delta^{-k} = \beta\alpha^{2t}\delta^{l-1}\lambda^{-1}\gamma^{2t}\delta^l = \gamma\delta\alpha^{-1} = \\ &= \lambda^2\gamma^{-2r}\delta^{-k} = \lambda\gamma^{2t}\delta^l\beta^{-1}\alpha^{2t}\delta^{l-1} = 1 \end{aligned} \right\rangle.$$

Setting $a_1 = \alpha, b_1 = \delta$ and $c_1 = \lambda$ we will have:

$$H = \langle a_1, b_1, c_1 \mid a_1b_1a_1^{-1}b_1 = c_1^2a_1^{-2R}b_1^S = c_1a_1^{2T}b_1^Uc_1^{-1}a_1^{2T}b_1^U = 1 \rangle$$

where $R = r, S = k = \frac{s}{2}, T = 2t$ and $U = u$.

So the double covering defined by φ_2 is the sapphire determined by the matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} r & \frac{s}{2} \\ 2t & u \end{pmatrix}.$$

Case III: $\varphi_3(a) = \bar{0}, \varphi_3(b) = \bar{0}, \varphi_3(c) = \bar{1}$. Taking $\{1, c\}$ as a Schreier system for right cosets of the kernel (H) of φ_2 , we obtain $\{a, b, cac^{-1}, cbc^{-1}, c^2\}$ as a system of generators, and the following relations:

- $aba^{-1}b$;
- $c^2a^{-2r}b^{-s}$;
- $ca^{2t}b^uc^{-1}a^{2t}b^u$;
- $c^{-1}aba^{-1}bc$;
- $ca^{-2r}b^{-s}c$;
- $a^{2t}b^uc^{-1}a^{2t}b^uc$.

Taking $\alpha = a, \beta = b, \gamma = cac^{-1}, \delta = cbc^{-1}, \lambda = c^2$, we obtain

$$H = \left\langle \begin{array}{l} \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda ; \quad \alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}\beta = \lambda\alpha^{-2r}\beta^{-s} = \gamma^{2t}\delta^u\alpha^{2t}\beta^u = \gamma\delta\gamma^{-1}\delta = \\ \quad \quad \quad = \gamma^{-2r}\delta^{-s}\lambda = \alpha^{2t}\beta^u\lambda^{-1}\gamma^{2t}\delta^u\lambda = 1 \end{array} \right\rangle.$$

Setting $a_1 = \alpha, b_1 = \beta$ and $c_1 = \gamma$, we have:

$$H = \langle a_1, b_1, c_1 \mid a_1b_1a_1^{-1}b_1 = c_1^2a_1^{-2R}b_1^S = c_1a_1^{2T}b_1^Uc_1^{-1}a_1^{2T}b_1^U = 1 \rangle$$

where

- $R = ru + st, S = 2su, T = -2rt, U = -(ru + st)$ if $ru - st = 1$;
- $R = -(ru + st), S = -2su, T = 2rt, U = ru + st$ if $ru - st = -1$.

So the double covering defined by φ_1 is the sapphire determined by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} ru + st & 2su \\ -2rt & -(ru + st) \end{pmatrix}$

if $ru - st = 1$, or the sapphire determined by $\begin{pmatrix} -(ru + st) & -2su \\ 2rt & ru + st \end{pmatrix}$ if $ru - st = -1$. Moreover it is clear that both matrices describe the same 3-manifold.

Case IV: $\varphi_4(a) = \bar{1}, \varphi_4(b) = \bar{1}, \varphi_4(c) = \bar{0}$. In this case s must be even and u odd, we denote $s = 2k$ and $u = 2l - 1$. Taking $\{1, b\}$ as a Schreier system for right cosets of the kernel (H) of φ_2 , we obtain $\{ab^{-1}, c, ba, b^2, cbc^{-1}\}$ as a system of generators and the following relations:

- $aba^{-1}b$;
- $c^2a^{-2r}b^{-s}$;
- $ca^{2t}b^uc^{-1}a^{2t}b^u$;

- $b^{-1}aba^{-1}b^2$;
- $b^{-1}c^2a^{-2r}b^{-s+1}$;
- $b^{-1}ca^{2t}b^uc^{-1}a^{2t}b^{u+1}$.

Taking $\alpha = ab^{-1}, \beta = c, \gamma = ba, \delta = b^2, \lambda = bcb^{-1}$, we obtain

$$H = \left\langle \begin{array}{l} \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda ; \alpha\delta\gamma^{-1}\delta = \beta^2(\alpha\gamma)^{-r}\delta^{-k} = \beta(\alpha\gamma)^t\delta^{l-1}\lambda^{-1}\alpha^{-1}(\alpha\gamma)^t\alpha\delta^l = \gamma\alpha^{-1} \\ = \lambda^2\gamma(\alpha\gamma)^{-r}\gamma^{-1}\delta^{-k} = \lambda\gamma(\alpha\gamma)^t\gamma^{-1}\delta^l\beta^{-1}(\alpha\gamma)^t\delta^{l-1} = 1 \end{array} \right\rangle.$$

Setting $a_1 = \gamma, b_1 = \delta$ and $c_1 = \beta$, we have:

$$H = \langle a_1, b_1, c_1 \mid a_1b_1a_1^{-1}b_1 = c_1^2a_1^{-2R}b_1^S = c_1a_1^{2T}b_1^Uc_1^{-1}a_1^{2T}b_1^U = 1 \rangle$$

where $R = r, S = k = \frac{s}{2}, T = 2t$ and $U = u$.

So the double covering defined by φ_2 is the sapphire determined by the matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} r & \frac{s}{2} \\ 2t & u \end{pmatrix}.$$

Case V: $\varphi_5(a) = \bar{1}, \varphi_5(b) = \bar{0}, \varphi_5(c) = \bar{1}$. This case was solved in [6]. The double covering is a torus bundle with Anosov gluing map given by the matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} ru + ts & -2rt \\ -2su & ru + ts \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let us recall that the fundamental group of this double covering corresponds to the subgroup generated by $\{a^2, b, a^{-1}c\}$.

Case VI: $\varphi_6(a) = \bar{0}, \varphi_6(b) = \bar{1}, \varphi_6(c) = \bar{1}$. In this case s must be even and u odd, we denote $s = 2k$ and $u = 2l - 1$. Taking $\{1, b\}$ as a Schreier system for right cosets of the kernel (H) of φ_2 , we obtain $\{a, cb^{-1}, bab^{-1}, b^2, bc\}$ as a system of generators, and the following relations:

- $aba^{-1}b$;
- $c^2a^{-2r}b^{-s}$;
- $ca^{2t}b^uc^{-1}a^{2t}b^u$;
- $b^{-1}aba^{-1}b^2$;
- $b^{-1}c^2a^{-2r}b^{-s+1}$;
- $b^{-1}ca^{2t}b^uc^{-1}a^{2t}b^{u+1}$.

Taking $\alpha = a, \beta = cb^{-1}, \gamma = bab^{-1}, \delta = b^2, \lambda = bc$, we obtain

$$H = \left\langle \begin{array}{l} \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda ; \alpha\gamma^{-1}\delta = \beta\lambda\alpha^{-2r}\delta^{-k} = \beta\gamma^{2t}\delta^l\lambda^{-1}\gamma^{2t}\delta^l = \gamma\delta\alpha^{-1} = \\ \lambda\beta\gamma^{-2r}\delta^{-k} = \lambda\alpha^{2t}\delta^{l-1}\beta^{-1}\alpha^{2t}\delta^{l-1} = 1 \end{array} \right\rangle.$$

Setting $a_1 = \gamma$, $b_1 = \delta$ and $c_1 = \beta\alpha^{2t}\delta^l$, we have:

$$H = \langle a_1, b_1, c_1 \mid a_1 b_1 a_1^{-1} b_1 = c_1^2 a_1^{-2R} b_1^S = c_1 a_1^{2T} b_1^U c_1^{-1} a_1^{2T} b_1^U = 1 \rangle$$

where $R = r, S = k = \frac{s}{2}, T = 2t$ and $U = u$.

So the double covering defined by φ_2 is the sapphire determined by the matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} r & \frac{s}{2} \\ 2t & u \end{pmatrix}.$$

Case VII: $\varphi_7(a) = \bar{1}$, $\varphi_7(b) = \bar{1}$, $\varphi_7(c) = \bar{1}$. In this case s must be even and u odd, we denote $s = 2k$ and $u = 2l - 1$. Taking $\{1, b\}$ as a Schreier system for right cosets of the kernel (H) of φ_2 , we obtain $\{ab^{-1}, cb^{-1}, ba, b^2, bc\}$ as a system of generators and the following relations:

- $aba^{-1}b$;
- $c^2a^{-2r}b^{-s}$;
- $ca^{2t}b^uc^{-1}a^{2t}b^u$;
- $b^{-1}aba^{-1}b^2$;
- $b^{-1}c^2a^{-2r}b^{-s+1}$;
- $b^{-1}ca^{2t}b^uc^{-1}a^{2t}b^{u+1}$.

Taking $\alpha = ab^{-1}, \beta = cb^{-1}, \gamma = ba, \delta = b^2, \lambda = bc$, we obtain

$$H = \left\langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda \mid \begin{aligned} \alpha\delta\gamma^{-1}\delta &= \beta\lambda(\alpha\gamma)^{-r}\delta^{-k} = \beta\gamma(\alpha\gamma)^t\gamma^{-1}\delta^l\lambda^{-1}\gamma(\alpha\gamma)^t\gamma^{-1}\delta^l = \gamma\alpha^{-1} \\ &= \lambda\beta\gamma(\alpha\gamma)^{-r}\gamma^{-1}\delta^{-k} = \lambda(\alpha\gamma)^t\delta^{l-1}\beta^{-1}(\alpha\gamma)^t\delta^{l-1} = 1 \end{aligned} \right\rangle.$$

Setting $a_1 = \gamma$, $b_1 = \delta$ and $c_1 = \beta\gamma^{2t}\delta^l$, we have:

$$H = \langle a_1, b_1, c_1 \mid a_1 b_1 a_1^{-1} b_1 = c_1^2 a_1^{-2R} b_1^S = c_1 a_1^{2T} b_1^U c_1^{-1} a_1^{2T} b_1^U = 1 \rangle$$

where $R = r, S = k = \frac{s}{2}, T = 2t$ and $U = u$.

So the double covering defined by φ_2 is the sapphire determined by the matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} r & \frac{s}{2} \\ 2t & u \end{pmatrix}.$$

We will present the conclusions of the above calculations in the following table. If S_A is a Sol 3-manifold that is a sapphire constructed using the matrix $A = \begin{pmatrix} r & s \\ t & u \end{pmatrix}$, in the table we give matrices that describe the sapphire manifolds and the torus bundles, respectively, of the double coverings of S_A . To simplify the table, in the case that the double covering is a sapphire, we used the classification given by Morimoto [9] in Theorem 1 to choose the matrices. We recall that we are assuming r, s, t and u positive numbers and $r \leq u$.

Case	Hom.	Sapphire	Sol torus bundle
I	$\varphi_1 \begin{cases} a \mapsto \bar{1} \\ b \mapsto \bar{0} \\ c \mapsto \bar{0} \end{cases}$	$\begin{pmatrix} ru + st & 2rs \\ 2tu & ru + st \end{pmatrix}$	–
II	$\varphi_2 \begin{cases} a \mapsto \bar{0} \\ b \mapsto \bar{1} \\ c \mapsto \bar{0} \end{cases} \quad (s \text{ even})$	$\begin{pmatrix} r & \frac{s}{2} \\ 2t & u \end{pmatrix}$	–
III	$\varphi_3 \begin{cases} a \mapsto \bar{0} \\ b \mapsto \bar{0} \\ c \mapsto \bar{1} \end{cases}$	$\begin{pmatrix} ru + st & 2su \\ 2rt & ru + st \end{pmatrix}$	–
IV	$\varphi_4 \begin{cases} a \mapsto \bar{1} \\ b \mapsto \bar{1} \\ c \mapsto \bar{0} \end{cases} \quad (s \text{ even})$	$\begin{pmatrix} r & \frac{s}{2} \\ 2t & u \end{pmatrix}$	–
V	$\varphi_5 \begin{cases} a \mapsto \bar{1} \\ b \mapsto \bar{0} \\ c \mapsto \bar{1} \end{cases}$	–	$\begin{pmatrix} ru + ts & -2rt \\ -2su & ru + ts \end{pmatrix}$
VI	$\varphi_6 \begin{cases} a \mapsto \bar{0} \\ b \mapsto \bar{1} \\ c \mapsto \bar{1} \end{cases} \quad (s \text{ even})$	$\begin{pmatrix} r & \frac{s}{2} \\ 2t & u \end{pmatrix}$	–
VII	$\varphi_7 \begin{cases} a \mapsto \bar{1} \\ b \mapsto \bar{1} \\ c \mapsto \bar{1} \end{cases} \quad (s \text{ even})$	$\begin{pmatrix} r & \frac{s}{2} \\ 2t & u \end{pmatrix}$	–

Recall from Corollary 2.3 in [7] that *two classes* $x_1 \in H^1(W_1, \mathbb{Z})$, $x_2 \in H^1(W_2, \mathbb{Z})$ *are equivalent if there is a homotopy equivalence* $h : W_1 \rightarrow W_2$ *such that the induced homomorphism by* h *on* H^1 *maps* x_2 *to* x_1 . The above equivalence relation is closely related to an equivalence relation of involutions, which we give at the beginning of the next section.

Now we classify the φ 's given by the table which are equivalent.

By considering the isomorphism which sends $a \rightarrow ab$, $b \rightarrow b$ and $c \rightarrow c$, we obtain that case *II* is equivalent to case *IV*, and case *VI* is equivalent to case *VII*. The following proposition shows that all of these cases are in fact equivalent.

Proposition 3.1. The cases *II*, *IV*, *VI* and *VII* are equivalent.

Proof. The above considerations show that case *II* is equivalent to case *IV* and case *VI* is equivalent to case *VII*. So to proof the proposition, it suffices to construct an automorphism $\theta : \pi_1(K(r, s, t, u)) \rightarrow \pi_1(K(r, s, t, u))$ where s is even, such that $\theta(\varphi_2) = \varphi_6$, i.e. case *II* is equivalent to case *VI*.

Recall the presentation

$$(3.1) \quad \pi_1(N_A) \cong \langle a, b, c \mid aba^{-1}b = c^2a^{-2r}b^s = ca^{2t}b^uc^{-1}a^{2t}b^u = 1 \rangle.$$

We will suppose that $\det(A) = 1$. Let $\theta(a) = a$, $\theta(b) = b$ and $\theta(c) = w(a^2, b)c = wc$ be such that the exponent sum s of b is odd. If such then θ exists, $\theta(\varphi_2) = \varphi_6$ and the result follows. So let us show that θ preserves the relations. The first relation $aba^{-1}b = 1$ is clearly preserved by θ . The third relation $ca^{2t}b^uc^{-1}a^{2t}b^u = 1$ is also preserved since the image of the relation is $wca^{2t}b^uc^{-1}w^{-1}a^{2t}b^u = w(a^{2t}b^u)^{-1}w^{-1}a^{2t}b^u$. But the subgroup given by $\langle w, a^{2t}, b^u \rangle$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}$ and it follows that $w(a^{2t}b^u)^{-1}w^{-1}a^{2t}b^u = 1$. So it suffices to find w with the above properties above and the relation $c^2 = b^s a^{2r}$ preserved by θ . Thus $wcwc = wcwc^{-1}c^2 = b^s a^{2r}$ or $cwc^{-1} = w^{-1}b^s a^{2r}w^{-1}c^{-2} = w^{-1}b^s a^{2r}a^{-2r}b^{-s} = w^{-1}$. Hence it suffices to find w an eigenvector for the eigenvalue -1 (for the action of c by conjugation on the subgroup $\langle w, a^{2t}, b^u \rangle$) such that the exponent sum of b is odd. From [6], we know that the matrix of the automorphism of the subgroup $\langle a^2, b \rangle$ given by conjugation by $a^{-1}c$, is the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} ru + ts & -2rt \\ -2su & ru + ts \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\left(\text{equivalent, by the Morimoto classification, to } \begin{pmatrix} ru + ts & 2rt \\ 2su & ru + ts \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

So the matrix of conjugation by c is

$$\begin{pmatrix} ru + ts & -2rt \\ 2su & -ru - ts \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now we will show that this integral matrix has eigenvalue -1 and an eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue -1 where the exponent of b is odd. The first part follows because the determinant of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} ru + ts + 1 & -2rt \\ 2su & -ru - ts + 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is zero, so we have an eigenvector for the eigenvalue -1 . It remains to show that there is one such eigenvector with the exponent sum of b odd. Let (x, y) be an eigenvector. We have

$$(ru + ts + 1)x - (2rt)y = 0.$$

But $ru + ts + 1 = (ru - ts + 1) + 2ts = 2 + 2ts$, where the last equality follows from $\det(A) = 1$.

So we obtain $(1 + st)x = rty$, where $1 + st$ is odd since s is even. Therefore there is a solution with y odd and the result follows if $\det(A) = 1$.

If $\det(A) = -1$, $A = \begin{pmatrix} r & s \\ t & u \end{pmatrix}$, we can chose $A' = \begin{pmatrix} -r & s \\ -t & u \end{pmatrix}$ that determines the same sapphire manifold (by Morimoto's classification) with $\det(A') = 1$. Using variables a, b and c to describe the presentation of $\pi_1(S_A)$ and x, y and z for $\pi_1(S_{A'})$, we see that the isomorphism γ that sends $a \rightarrow x^{-1}$, $b \rightarrow y$ and $c \rightarrow z$ is such that the composition $\gamma^{-1}\varphi\gamma$ sends φ_2 to φ_6 for the presentation of $\pi_1(S_A)$ and the result follows. $\square$

$\square$

So we obtain the following result.

Proposition 3.2. For the homomorphisms φ_i given by the above table, we have:

- (1) The subset $\{\varphi_2, \varphi_4, \varphi_6, \varphi_7\}$ (when it exists) is a single equivalence class.
- (2) The subset $\{\varphi_5\}$ is a single equivalence class.
- (3) The homomorphism φ_1 is not equivalent to φ_3 if $r \neq u$ and $r \neq -u$. Therefore in this case, $\{\varphi_1\}$ and $\{\varphi_3\}$ are two distinct equivalence classes. If either $r = u$ or $r = -u$ then the two double coverings which arise from φ_1, φ_3 , respectively, are homeomorphic.

Proof. Part 1) follows from Proposition 3.1.

Observe that if two pairs $(S_A, \alpha), (S_A, \beta)$ are equivalent, then the corresponding double covering are homeomorphic. Part 2) follows from the fact that the double covering which corresponds to the case $\{(S_A, \varphi_5)\}$ is a torus bundle, so cannot be equivalent to any other case.

Part 3) If the double coverings corresponding to the two cases are homeomorphic, then the two matrices are related as in Theorem 1. But this implies that $|2rs| = |2su|$ or $r = \pm u$. So the result follows. $\square$

$\square$

Remark We do not know if for either $r = u$ or $r = -u$ the two pairs (S_A, φ_1) and (S_A, φ_3) are equivalent or not.

4. THE CLASSIFICATION OF FREE INVOLUTIONS AND THE BORSUK-ULAM THEOREM

In this section we classify the involutions of the sapphire manifolds with Sol geometry, and we compute the values of n for which the triple $(M, \tau; \mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies the Borsuk-Ulam property, for all possible free involutions.

We say that *two involutions τ_1 on M_1 and τ_2 on M_1 are equivalent if there is a homotopy equivalence h between the orbit space such that the induced homomorphism by h on H^1 maps the characteristic*

class of one involution to the characteristic class of the other involution. For more about the relation between involutions and characteristic classes, see [7].

We begin this section by looking this at the triples $(M, \tau; \mathbb{R}^2)$. The result in this case uses a general fact about the Borsuk-Ulam property which is independent of the involution. More precisely, for any pair (M, τ) where M is a sapphire we have:

Proposition 4.1. Any triple $(M, \tau; \mathbb{R}^2)$ satisfies the Borsuk-Ulam property.

Proof. From Morimoto, $H_1(M, \mathbb{Z})$ is finite. The result then follows immediatly from [1, Corollary 3.3]. $\square$ $\square$

Let S_A (with $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$) be a sapphire Sol manifold. Using the results of table 3 (page 9), we may whether such a manifold is the double covering of another Sol manifold associated with some homomorphism.

In the φ_1 case we note that we must have $a = d$, a odd (this implies $\det(A) = 1$) and b and c even. The following Proposition shows that these conditions are sufficient to guarantee that S_A is the double covering of some Sol manifold which corresponds to the kernel of the homomorphism φ_1 .

Given an integer x and a prime p , denote the largest integer such that $p^{|x|_p}$ divides x by $|x|_p$. If p does not divide x then we define this number to be zero.

Proposition 4.2. If $a = d$, a odd, b and c are even then S_A is the double covering of two sapphire Sol manifolds S_B ($B = \begin{pmatrix} r & s \\ t & u \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$), associated to φ_1 , i.e. (see table 3) the system

$$(4.1) \quad a = ru + ts, \quad b = 2rs, \quad c = 2tu \quad \text{and} \quad d = ru + st,$$

admits 2 solutions with r, s, t and u positive.

More precisely, B is one of the following matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \prod_{j=1}^n q_j^{\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \rfloor_{q_j}} & \prod_{i=1}^m p_i^{\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \rfloor_{p_i}} \\ \prod_{i=1}^m p_i^{\lfloor \frac{c}{2} \rfloor_{p_i}} & \prod_{j=1}^n q_j^{\lfloor \frac{c}{2} \rfloor_{q_j}} \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \prod_{i=1}^m p_i^{\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \rfloor_{p_i}} & \prod_{j=1}^n q_j^{\lfloor \frac{b}{2} \rfloor_{q_j}} \\ \prod_{j=1}^n q_j^{\lfloor \frac{c}{2} \rfloor_{q_j}} & \prod_{i=1}^m p_i^{\lfloor \frac{c}{2} \rfloor_{p_i}} \end{pmatrix}$$

where p_i runs over the set of all divisors of $(a+1)/2$, and q_j runs over the set of all divisors of $(a-1)/2$.

Proof. We can write A as:

$$(4.2) \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 2\beta \\ 2\gamma & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{Z} - \{0\})$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$, α are odd, and $\det A = \pm 1$.

We will verify that such conditions are in fact sufficient. Moreover we will show that there exist exactly two matrices (with positive entries) B satisfying such properties, and they are in two equivalence classes for the relation described by Morimoto.

More precisely, there are only two different homeomorphic classes of sapphire that admit S_A as a double covering.

Suppose that A satisfies 4.2. Observe that

$$1 = \det A = \alpha^2 - 4\beta\gamma \implies \beta\gamma = \frac{\alpha+1}{2} \cdot \frac{\alpha-1}{2}$$

So $\det(A) = \alpha^2 - 4\beta\gamma = 1$ ($\det(A) = -1$ is not possible because $\alpha = 2k+1 \implies \alpha^2 - 4\beta\gamma = 4(n^2 + n - \beta\gamma) + 1$).

If there exists a matrix B satisfying 4.1, we must have

$$(4.3) \quad rstu = \beta\gamma = \frac{\alpha+1}{2} \cdot \frac{\alpha-1}{2}.$$

Now we define $R = \frac{\alpha+1}{2}$, $S = \frac{\alpha-1}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$. So $R, S \neq 0$ since

$$RS = rstu \neq 0$$

and

$$R = \prod_{i=1}^m p_i^{|R|_{p_i}} \quad , \quad S = \prod_{j=1}^n q_j^{|S|_{q_j}}$$

where p_i and q_j are positive primes and $p_i \neq q_j$, for all i and j (R and S are consecutive integers).

Let $x = st \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$. It follows from 4.1 and 4.3 that

$$(\alpha - x)x = rux = RS$$

and so

$$x^2 - \alpha x + RS = 0$$

An easy calculation shows that $x = R$ or $x = S$:

Case: $ts = x = R \implies ru = S$

In such a situation, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} t &= \prod_{i=1}^m p_i^{|t|_{p_i}} & \text{and} & & s &= \prod_{i=1}^m p_i^{|s|_{p_i}} \\ r &= \prod_{j=1}^n q_j^{|r|_{q_j}} & \text{and} & & u &= \prod_{j=1}^n q_j^{|u|_{q_j}} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$|t|_{p_i} + |s|_{p_i} = |R|_{p_i} \quad \text{and} \quad |r|_{q_j} + |u|_{q_j} = |S|_{q_j}$$

Using 4.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |r|_{q_j} &= |\beta|_{q_j} & \text{and} & & |s|_{p_i} &= |\beta|_{p_i} \\ |t|_{p_i} &= |\gamma|_{p_i} & \text{and} & & |u|_{q_j} &= |\gamma|_{q_j} \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \prod_{j=1}^n q_j^{|\beta|_{q_j}} & \prod_{i=1}^m p_i^{|\beta|_{p_i}} \\ \prod_{i=1}^m p_i^{|\gamma|_{p_i}} & \prod_{j=1}^n q_j^{|\gamma|_{q_j}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Case: $ts = x = S \implies ru = R$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} t &= \prod_{j=1}^n q_j^{|t|_{q_j}} & \text{and} & & s &= \prod_{j=1}^n q_j^{|s|_{q_j}} \\ r &= \prod_{i=1}^m p_i^{|r|_{p_i}} & \text{and} & & u &= \prod_{i=1}^m p_i^{|u|_{p_i}} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$|t|_{q_j} + |s|_{q_j} = |S|_{q_j} \quad \text{and} \quad |r|_{p_i} + |u|_{p_i} = |R|_{p_i}$$

Again using 4.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |r|_{p_i} &= |\beta|_{p_i} & \text{and} & & |s|_{q_j} &= |\beta|_{q_j} \\ |t|_{q_j} &= |\gamma|_{q_j} & \text{and} & & |u|_{p_i} &= |\gamma|_{p_i} \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \prod_{i=1}^m p_i^{|\beta|_{p_i}} & \prod_{j=1}^n q_j^{|\beta|_{q_j}} \\ \prod_{j=1}^n q_j^{|\gamma|_{q_j}} & \prod_{i=1}^m p_i^{|\gamma|_{p_i}} \end{pmatrix}$$

and we get one possible solution:

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \prod_{i=1}^m p_i^{|\beta|_{p_i}} & \prod_{j=1}^n q_j^{|\beta|_{q_j}} \\ \prod_{j=1}^n q_j^{|\gamma|_{q_j}} & \prod_{i=1}^m p_i^{|\gamma|_{p_i}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Now observe that the matrix in the second case is not equivalent to the matrix in the first case.

□

□

We also have a similar Proposition for the solution of the case of double coverings of type III of the table. The system of equations is that given above where we simply replace r by u and u by r . Therefore the solution is as above where the roles of r and u are interchanged. More precisely:

Proposition 4.3. If $a = d$, a odd, b and c are even then S_A is the double covering of two sapphire Sol manifolds S_B ($B = \begin{pmatrix} r & s \\ t & u \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$), associated to φ_3 , i.e. (see table 3) the system

$$(4.4) \quad a = ru + ts, \quad b = 2su, \quad c = 2rt \text{ and } d = ru + st,$$

admits 2 solutions with r, s, t and u positive.

More precisely, B is one of the following matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \prod_{j=1}^n q_j^{|\frac{c}{2}|_{q_j}} & \prod_{i=1}^m p_i^{|\frac{b}{2}|_{p_i}} \\ \prod_{i=1}^m p_i^{|\frac{c}{2}|_{p_i}} & \prod_{j=1}^n q_j^{|\frac{b}{2}|_{q_j}} \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \prod_{i=1}^m p_i^{|\frac{c}{2}|_{p_i}} & \prod_{j=1}^n q_j^{|\frac{b}{2}|_{q_j}} \\ \prod_{j=1}^n q_j^{|\frac{c}{2}|_{q_j}} & \prod_{i=1}^m p_i^{|\frac{b}{2}|_{p_i}} \end{pmatrix}$$

where p_i runs over the set of all divisors of $(a+1)/2$ and q_i runs over the set of all divisors of $(a-1)/2$.

We note that if $\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix}$ is one of the solutions presented in Proposition 4.2 then the other solution, in the same proposition is $\begin{pmatrix} y & x \\ w & z \end{pmatrix}$ and the two solutions of Proposition 4.3 are $\begin{pmatrix} w & y \\ z & x \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} z & x \\ w & y \end{pmatrix}$. So if $x \neq w$ and $y \neq z$ only two of them appears in the table 3, in two different position

of the table. In the case that $x = w$ or $y = z$ two of them appears in the table 3 but one of them appears twice, in two different positions of the table.

We are now in a position to state the main result, which classifies the equivalence classes of free involutions of a sapphire manifold, except in one case where we obtain a partial classification. As a Corollary we apply results from J. Hillman, [8] to determine which triples $(S_A, \tau, \mathbb{R}^3)$ have the Borsuk-Ulam property (we already know that the Borsuk-Ulam property holds for all triples $(S_A, \tau, \mathbb{R}^2)$ by Proposition 4.1).

It is more convenient to state the main theorem without the condition that all entries of the matrix that determine the sapphire manifold are positive. So we will not assume this hypothesis below.

Theorem 4.4. *Given a sapphire 3-manifold determined by the matrix*

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

we have:

I) If c is odd the manifold does not admit involutions.

II) If c, b are even we have two cases:

II-a) $|a| \neq |d|$, then the manifold admits one class of free involutions, and the quotient is homeomorphic to the sapphire determined by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 2b \\ c/2 & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

II-b) $|a| = |d|$, then the manifold admits 3 distinct classes of involution and the quotients are the sapphire manifolds given by the matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 2b \\ c/2 & d \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} r & s \\ t & u \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} s & r \\ u & t \end{pmatrix},$$

where (r, s, t, u) is one of the solutions given by Proposition 4.2, if $r \neq u$ and $s \neq t$. Otherwise the manifold admits at least three distinct classes of involutions and at most five.

III) If c is even and b odd, then the manifold admits one class of free involutions and the quotient is homeomorphic to the sapphire determined by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 2b \\ c/2 & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. First, we note that given a matrix $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ (with all entries positive) of a sapphire, table 3 describes 6 matrices of double coverings of S_A .

In fact table 3 can be constructed without the condition that all entries of the matrix be positive. In this case, if we apply the (new) table 3 to another matrix B such that S_B is homeomorphic to S_A , the 6 matrices obtained in this way will be representatives of the same 6 manifolds (this correspondence may change the position in the table).

Part I) follows easily from table 3.

Part II) We just apply table 3. Then Proposition 3.1 (for II-a) and Propositions 3.1, 4.2 and 4.3 (II-b).

Part III) Again we just use table 3. □

□

Corollary 4.5. Given a sapphire 3-manifold S_A determined by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

the Borsuk-Ulam property holds for the triple $(S_A, \tau, \mathbb{R}^3)$ if and only if c is even and b is odd. Furthermore, in this case the manifold admits only one class of free involutions.

Proof. If c is odd there is no involution. For the other parts, it will be important to describe, among the 7 homomorphisms, those which factor through $\pi/\square(\pi)$. They are precisely those where b is sent to zero. So $\varphi_2, \varphi_4, \varphi_6$ and φ_7 are those which do not factor through $\pi/\square(\pi)$, so the cube of the associated cohomology class is nontrivial precisely when the element in position (1,2) is divisible by 2 but not by 4.

Apply [8] section 5 item 11, that the cube of cohomology class associated to φ_i is nontrivial only in the Part III of Theorem 4.4. □

□

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The second author would like to thank Michel Boileau for some fruitful discussions at an early stage of this work.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bauval, C. Hayat, D. L. Gonçalves and P. Zvengrowski, The Borsuk-Ulam Theorem for Double Coverings of Seifert Manifolds, Proceedings of the Institute of Mathematics of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine (Brazilian-Polish Topology Workshop), **6 no. 6** (2013), 165–189.
- [2] A. Bauval, C. Hayat, D. L. Gonçalves and P. Zvengrowski, The Borsuk-Ulam theorem for the Seifert manifolds having geometry S^3 , $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, Flat and Nil (in preparation).
- [3] D. L. Gonçalves, The Borsuk-Ulam theorem for surfaces. Quaest. Math. **29 no. 1** (2006), 117–123.
- [4] D. L. Gonçalves and J. Guaschi, The Borsuk-Ulam theorem for maps into a surface. Topology Appl. **157 no. 10-11** (2010), 1742–1759.
- [5] D. Gonçalves, M. Spreafico and O. Manzoli Neto, The Borsuk-Ulam theorem for homotopy spherical space forms. J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **9 no. 2** (2011), 285–294.
- [6] D. L. Gonçalves and P. Wong, Nielsen numbers of self maps of Sol 3-manifolds, Topology and its Applications, Proceedings of the Nielsen fixed point conference- 2011 Beijing June 13-17, **159 Issue 18** (2012), 3729–3737.
- [7] C. Hayat, D. L. Gonçalves and P. Zvengrowski, The Borsuk-Ulam theorem for manifolds, with applications to dimensions two and three, Proceedings of the International Conference Bratislava Topology Symposium "Group Actions and Homogeneous Spaces" (2010), 09–28.
- [8] J. Hillman, The $\mathbb{F}_2$ -cohomology rings of Sol³-manifolds, Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society, **89** (2014), 191–201.
- [9] K. Morimoto, Some orientable 3-manifolds containing Klein bottles, Kobe J. Math. **2** (1985), 37–44.
- [10] M. Sakuma, Involutions on torus bundles over S^1 , Osaka J. Math. **22** (1985), 163–185.
- [11] H. Sun, S. Wang and J. Wu., Self-mapping degrees of torus bundles and torus semi-bundles, Osaka J. Math. **47** (2010), 131–155.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE SÃO CARLOS, SÃO CARLOS, 13565-905, BRAZIL

E-mail address: alexandre@dm.ufscar.br

DEPARTAMENTO. DE MATEMÁTICA, - IME - USP, CAIXA POSTAL 66.281, CEP 05314- 970, FAX: 55-11-30916183, SÃO PAULO - SP, BRASIL

E-mail address: dlgoncal@ime.usp.br

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE SÃO CARLOS, SÃO CARLOS, 13565-905, BRAZIL

E-mail address: daniel@dm.ufscar.br